## Commission on Enhancing Agency Outcomes

## Statistics for Human Services Agencies

November 22, 2010

## Overview

- CT State Human Services Agencies (N=14,252):
  - Department of Developmental Services (N=4,355)
  - Department of Public Health (N=809)
  - Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services (N=3,490)
  - Department of Social Services (N=1,921)
  - Department of Children and Families (N=3,518)
  - \*Commission on the Deaf and Hearing Impaired (N=38)
  - \*Board of Education and Services for the Blind (N=121)
- Source of Information: CORE-CT (as of 7-23-10)
- Includes state employees who:
  - > .49 FTE
  - Considered Active, on Leave, or Suspended
  - Received pay between 7-23-09 and 7-23-10 from GF or other fund
  - Excludes students, national guard personnel, prisoner/client workers, temporary/seasonal workers
  - \* Part of SmART Unit

# **Support Function Positions**

- Human Resources Positions: 131 (0.9% of 14,252 H.S. agency employees)
- Payroll Positions: **51** (0.4% of employees)
- EEO Positions: **15** (0.1% of employees)
- Fiscal Positions: **295** (2.1% of employees)
- I.T. Positions: **169** (1.2% of employees)

## Human Resources Positions in Human Services Agencies



## Payroll Positions in Human Services Agencies



### EEO Positions in Human Services Agencies



### Fiscal Positions in Human Services Agencies



CEAO Handout 11/22/10 Meeting

### I.T. Positions in Human Services Agencies



CEAO Handout 11/22/10 Meeting

Personnel Staffing of Human Services Agencies					
Agency	HR	Payroll	EEO	Total # Staff	HR+Payroll+EEO to Employees Ratio
DMHAS	43	16	6	3,490	1:54
DCF	39	10	4	3,518	1:66
DPH	8	3	1	809	1:67
DDS	27	20	3	4,355	1:87
DSS	14	2	1	1,921	1:113
Total HS Agencies	131	51	15	14,093	1:72
Current SmART Unit	11	5	4	1,048	1:52

Pennsylvania Consolidation of Back Office Functions

- Pennsylvania recently created "HR Shared Services Center"
  - Serves Executive Branch employees (76,000 salaried employees)
  - Center handles all HR and payroll transactions, has customer service activities including phone center and electronic self-service system
  - Goal of Center to do more with less
    - Provide higher level of service through consolidation
    - Saved \$3.5 million (eliminated approx 70 positions)

Kentucky Office of Human Resource Management

- Administers internal personnel programs for state's Health and Family Services Agencies
- Services include:
  - Hiring, disciplinary procedures
  - Payroll
  - EEO investigations, ADA compliance
  - Exit interviews
  - Satisfaction surveys

## Human Service Agency Resource Team (HART)



# HART Potential Savings

Function	Current # of Positions	Current Annual Base Salaries	Savings if 10% Reduction
Human Resource	131	\$9,975,245	\$997,524
Payroll	51	\$2,801,978	\$280,198
EEO	15	\$1,183,042	\$118,304
TOTAL	197	\$13,960,265	\$1,396,026

### Number of Employees and Residents at Southbury Training School: 2005-2010



### Percent Decrease from 2005 to 2010 in Number of Southbury Training School Residents and Staff



Direct Care staff includes health professionals and non-professionals, and education staff. Indirect Care staff includes protective services and maintenance. Administrative staff include clerical, payroll, human resources, and managerial.

## Southbury Training School Indirect and Administrative Staff

Area	2005	2010	Change
Protective Services (e.g., firefighters)	14	14	0%
Cooks/Kitchen	50	43	-14%
Boiler Tender/Water Treatment	9	8	-11%
Payroll	10	11+1=12	+20%
HR	8+2=10	9+5=14	+40%
Total Employees	1,599	1,323	-17%
Total Residents	572	450	-21.3%

### Southbury Training School

	2005	2010		
# Residents	572	450 (a 21.3% ↓ from '05)	# of staff if ↓ by 21.3%	Difference from Actual
# Direct Care Staff	1,376	1,133	1,083	Over by 50 (\$2,406,350) <sup>1</sup>
# Indirect Care Staff	152	135	120	Over by 15 (\$748,530) <sup>2</sup>
# Administrative Staff	71	55	56	Under by 1, BUT:
Payroll	10	11+1=12	8	Over by 4 (\$211,312)
> HR	8+2=10	9+5=14	8	Over by 6 (\$354,090)
Total	1,599	1,323	1,259	Savings from eliminating 75 positions: \$3,720,282

<sup>1</sup>(median 2010 annual base sal of direct care staff=\$48,127) <sup>2</sup>(median 2010 annual base sal of indirect care staff=\$49,902)

### Number of Employees and Residents at Riverview Hospital: 2005-2010



#### **Riverview Hospital**

	2005	2010		
Average Daily Census	80	64 (a 20% ↓ from '05)	# of staff if ↓ by 20%	Difference from Actual
# Direct Care Staff	302	304	242	Over by 62 (\$4,069,432) <sup>1</sup>
# Indirect Care Staff	35	32	28	Over by 4 (\$185,456) <sup>2</sup>
# Administrative Staff	37	38	30	Over by 8 (\$439,464) <sup>3</sup>
Total	374	374	300	Savings from eliminating 74 positions: <b>\$4,694,352</b>

<sup>1</sup>(median 2010 annual base sal of direct care staff=\$65,636)
<sup>2</sup>(median 2010 annual base sal of indirect care staff=\$46,364)
<sup>3</sup>(median 2010 annual base sal of administrative staff=\$54,933)

# Supervisors + Managers

- "Managerial Employees" and "Supervisory Employees" are defined in statute (CGS Sec. 5-270(f))
- DAS identified managerial positions as having a labor code of "02" (managerial)
- DAS identified supervisory positions according to job classes designated as supervisory pursuant to statute

Have full-time supervisory responsibility over employees

- NOTE: No actual activity assessment done; further work would be needed
- <u>With note in mind</u>, scenarios were developed to explore options

# "Manager Ratios"

- Until 1986 (P.A. 86-411) Connecticut had a cap on the percent of managerial employees (4% in executive, judicial branches; 7% in higher ed constituent units)
- Managers usually refers to combination of "managerial" and "supervisory" positions
- Iowa Department of Human Services restructured to increase manager:employees ratio from 1:9 to 1:14
- Texas manager:employees guideline for state agencies (with more than 100 employees) in executive branch 1:10 (exempts DCF)

#### Manager Staffing at Human Services Agencies

Agency	# of FT Employees	# Mgrs <sup>1</sup>	% of Employees Who Are Mgrs
CDHI	38	3	7.9%
DMHAS	3490	232	6.6%
DCF	3518	221	6.3%
DPH	809	42	5.2%
DSS	1921	77	4.0%
DDS	4355	105	2.4%
BESB	121	1	0.8%
Total	14,252	<b>681</b> <sup>2</sup>	4.8%

<sup>1</sup>DAS identified managerial positions as having a labor code of "02" (managerial). <sup>2</sup>If 4% cap in place, there would be 111 fewer managers for human services agencies.

#### **Manager/Supervisor Staffing at Human Services Agencies**

Agency (# of em- ployees)	# Mgrs <sup>1</sup>	# Suprs <sup>2</sup>	# Mgrs + Suprs	Mgr/Supr:Non- Mgr/Supr Ratio
DCF (N=3,518)	221ª	484	705 (20%)	1:4
DPH (N=809)	42	70	112 (13.8%)	1:6
DSS (N=1,921)	77	159	236 (12.3%)	1:7
DMHAS (N=3,490)	232	183	415 (11.9%)	1:7
DDS (N=4,355)	105	332	437 (10%)	1:9
CDHI (N=38)	3	0	3 (7.9%)	1:12
BESB (N=121)	1	5	6 (5%)	1:19
Total (N=14,252)	681	1,233	1,914	1:6

<sup>1</sup>DAS identified managerial positions as having a labor code of "02" (managerial)

<sup>2</sup>DAS identified supervisory positions according to job classes designated to be supervisory pursuant to statute (CGS Sec. 5-270(f))

<sup>a</sup>Based on fiscal note in 2009-2011 State Budget Book, DCF was to reduce managerial positions by 25% (66 positions of 264 managerial positions), which would have resulted in 198 managerial positions.

Different Staffing Patterns at Human Services Agencies



Manager <sup>1</sup> Scenarios for Human Services Agencies						
Scenario A: Reduce N	Scenario A: Reduce Managers to 5% of Agency's Employees					
Agency (# mgrs/Total employees)	# of mgrs if 5% of employees	Reduced # of Mgrs to Reach Target:	Estimated Savings <sup>2</sup>			
DMHAS (232/3,490)	174	58	\$103,245 x 58= <b>\$5,988,210</b>			
DCF (221/3,518)	175	46	\$93,304 x 46= <b>\$4,291,984</b>			
Scenario B: Reduce N	Number of Existing M	lanagers by 5%				
Agency (# mgrs/Total employees)	# of mgrs if reduced by 5%	Reduced # of Mgrs to reach target	Estimated savings <sup>2</sup>			
DMHAS (232/3,490)	220	12	\$103,245 x 12= <b>\$1,238,940</b>			
DCF (221/3,518)	210	11	\$93,304 x 11= <b>\$1,026,344</b>			
Scenario C: Rebalance Ratio of Mgrs to Non-Mgrs by Exchanging Mgr Positions for Non- Mgr Positions						
Agency (# mgrs/Total employees)	# of mgr positions converted to non- mgr positions	Difference in salaries of non-mgrs vs. mgrs	Estimated savings <sup>2</sup>			
DMHAS (232/3,490)	58	\$45,238 Less	\$45,238 x 58= <b>\$2,623,804</b>			
DCF (221/3,518)	46	\$25,537 Less	\$25,537 x 46= <b>\$1,174,702</b>			

<sup>1</sup>DAS identified managerial positions as having a labor code of "02" (managerial) <sup>2</sup>Using median annual base rate of pay, excluding benefits.

Manager <sup>1</sup> Scenarios for Human Services Agencies						
Scenario D: Reduce M	Scenario D: Reduce Managers to 4% Cap of Agency's Employees					
Agency (# mgrs/Total employees)	# of mgrs if 4% of employees	Reduced # of Mgrs to Reach Target:	Estimated Savings <sup>2</sup>			
DMHAS (232/3,490)	140	92	\$103,245 x 92= <b>\$9,498,540</b>			
DCF (221/3,518)	141	80	\$93,304 x 80= <b>\$7,464,320</b>			
DPH (42/809)	32	10	\$110,202 x 10 <b>=\$1,102,020</b>			
DDS (105/4,355)	174	(69)				
DSS (77/1,921)	77	0				
BESB (1/121)	5	(4)				
CDHI (3/38)	1	2	\$61,927 x 2 <b>=\$123,854</b>			
Total HS Agencies (681/14,252)	570	184 (73)	\$18,188,734			
Source: PRI staff analysis using CORE-CT information from CTW_EMPLOYEES as of 7-23-10.						